



# UNITED STATES L ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tradem. In Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

LUDWIG 08/664,238 06/07/96

VCOR-001/06U

LM02/0216

COOLEY GODWARD CASTRO HUDDLESON & TATUM FIVE PALO ALTO SQUARE 3000 EL CAMINO REAL

DINH, D PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

2757

# 29

DATE MAILED:

02/16/00

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

PALO ALTO CA 94306

APPLICATION NUMBER

### **OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY**

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merita is closed in accordance with the practice under Experte Queyle, 1935 D.C. 11; 435 O.G. 213.   Abnorance statutory period for response to this experiment of the provisions of the matter of the provisions of the scale in less to expire	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	mat pled 1-27-cu
Ashortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire_whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fallure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).  Disposition of Claims  Claim(s)		•
### Interview is only in the framing duried in the communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).    Disposition of Claims	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecur accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	tion as to the merits is closed in
Sclaim(s)	the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained as the supplication to become abandoned.	
Sare withdrawn from consideration.   Is/are allowed.   Is/are allowed.   Is/are allowed.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are objected to.   Is/are objected to.   Is/are objected to.   Is/are objected to.   Is/are objected to restriction or election requirement.		•
Sare withdrawn from consideration.   Is/are allowed.   Is/are allowed.   Is/are allowed.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are rejected.   Is/are objected to.   Is/are objected to.   Is/are objected to.   Is/are objected to.   Is/are objected to restriction or election requirement.	B Claim(s) 2 -ω, 12 - 47	is/are pending in the application.
Claim(e)   2-62   12-17   Is/are rejected.     Claim(e)   Is/are rejected.     Claim(e)   Is/are rejected.     Claims   are subject to restriction or election requirement.     Application Papers   See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.     The drawing(s) filed on   Is/are objected to by the Examiner.     The proposed drawing correction, filed on   1 - 27 - 60   Is/are objected to by the Examiner.     The proposed drawing correction, filed on   1 - 27 - 60   Is/are objected to by the Examiner.     The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.     The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.     Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119     Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).     All   Some*   None   None   Of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been     received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)     received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).     Certified copies not received:     Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).     Attachment(e)   Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892     Information Disclosure Statement(e), PTO-1449, Peper No(e), 24, 37     Information Disclosure Statement (e), PTO-1449, Peper	Or the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claims	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Ctaims		
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on	☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filled on	☐ Claims are s	subject to restriction or election requirement.
The drawing(s) filed on	Application Papers	
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received In this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  **Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  **Attachment(s)  Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2. 3.  Inferview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 22, 27  Inferview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 22, 27  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 22, 27  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 22, 27  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 24, 27	The proposed drawing correction, filed on( - \mathcal{V7} - \omega\tau	is approved disapproved.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received In this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Internation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received In this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(e)  Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.    received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)   received in Application International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:   Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)   Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892   Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 27, 37   Inferview Summary, PTO-413   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  *Attachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 22, 27  Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 22, 27 Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
□ received In this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  *Attachment(e) □ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ② □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	received.	
Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 22, 37  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
Attachment(s)  Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 22, 37  Inferview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
Attachment(e)  Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 22, 27  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	*Certified copies not received:	
□ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2ℓ, 2√7  □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	,
□ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2ℓ, 2√1  □ Inferview Summary, PTO-413  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Attachment(s)	·
Interview Summary, PTO 413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Notice of Reference Cited PTO 803	The second secon
Interview Summary, PTO 413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	12 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 22, 27	
	Interview Summary, PTO-413	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		

Serial Number: 08/664,238 -2-

Art Unit: 2757

### DETAILED ACTION

The proposed drawing correction filed on 1-27-2000 has been disapproved because it is not in the form of a pen-and-ink sketch showing changes in red ink or with the changes otherwise highlighted. See MPEP § 608.02(v).

The preliminary amendment filed 1-27-2000 was not entered. A substitute specification excluding claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the changes to the specification are too numerous.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and must be accompanied by: 1) a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter; and 2) a marked-up copy showing the amendments to be made via the substitute specification relative to the specification at the time the substitute specification is filed.

The following rejection is a repeat of office action paper #24.

Serial Number: 08/664,238 -3-

Art Unit: 2757

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-10, 25, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biswas et al.

"Distributed Scheduling of Meetings: A Case Study in Prototyping Distributed Applications" and further in view of the Etherphone system as disclosed by Rangan "Software Architecture for Integration of Video Services in the Etherphone System" and Vin "Multimedia conferencing in the Etherphone Environment".

As per claim 2, Biswas discloses a distributed meetings application wherein the system is configured to associate a participant with only each workstation at which the participant logs in and to route and display meeting information to the workstation at which that participant is logged in [see p.661 col.2 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph]. Biswas does not teach a teleconferencing system with AV path for video/audio, AV conference manager, etc.

Rangan discloses the Etherphone is a teleconferencing system comprising:

Serial Number: 08/664,238 -4-

Art Unit: 2757

workstations having audio and video reproduction capabilities [Rangan p.1396 fig.1];

AV path for carrying AV signals [fig.1];

AV conference manager [p.1397 Macaw];

a participant locator [apparent from p.1398 "if a participant moves to new location, Macaw reroutes ... to new location"].

Rangan does not specifically disclose the system is configured to associated a participant with only each workstation at which the participant logs in. Rangan discloses that a connection is establish by a connect command having a participant ID parameter [p.1397 col.2 last paragraph].

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a teleconferencing system such as the Etherphone system together with Biswas because it would have enable integration video services with distributed computing and multimedia teleconferencing and collaboration [Rangan p.1395 col.1, p.1403 col.2].

As per claim 25, it is rejected under similar rationale as for claim 2 above.

As per claims 3 and 12-13, Rangan and Vin do not specifically disclose a service directory of the workstation audio video capability. Vin discloses the Etherphone system support

Serial Number: 08/664,238 -5-

Art Unit: 2757

conferencing using common capabilities or mixed capabilities by determining audio video capabilities of the workstations [p.72 col.3]. Hence, it would have been obvious or one of ordinary skill in the art to have directory for determining audio, video capabilities of the workstation participating in the conference.

As per claim 4, Rangan disclose switches to establish teleconference between participants [p.1396 fig.1 "Matrix switch"]. The number of switches and participant supported would have been a matter of design choice. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have the appropriate number of switches to support a desired number of participants.

As per claim 5, Rangan does not disclose Wide Area network (WAN) switches. However it is well known in the art to have WAN switches (gateway) for connecting workstations over geographically dispersed locations.

As per claim 6, it is rejected under similar rationale as for claim 3 above.

As per claim 7, it is apparent that the conference manager would choose reproduce devices based on availability.

As per claims 8-9, Rangan discloses user interface art to provide user selecting capability of reproduction devices [p.1397 col.1 last paragraph].

Serial Number: 08/664,238 -6-

Art Unit: 2757

As per claims 10 and 15, the reference does not specifically disclose format conversion. However it is well known in the art to have converter for different AV signal encoding. It would have been well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art to have converter for converting the AV format.

As per claim 14, Rangan disclose interfacing to external video production device [p.1396 fig.1 Optical disc].

As per claim 16, the Etherphone system has digital data path [Ethernet].

Claims 17, 19-20, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biswas et al. and the Etherphone system as disclosed by Rangan and Vin above and further in view of Champa US patent 5,315,633.

As per claim 17, Rangan and Vin do not disclose codecs and AV switch for routing signal from first to second location via a third location.

Champa teaches a teleconferencing system comprising:

an AV path [fig.4 # 45] for carrying AV signals, connecting the first workstation [fig.4] to a second work station [another station as in fig.4] via a third location [control hub fig.5, see col.7 lines 34-40];

Serial Number: 08/664,238 -7-

Art Unit: 2757

first, second codecs [fig.4 #41], and third codecs [fig.5 #76] at said first, second and third locations configured to compress AV signal;

an AV switch [fig.5 switch #57] at the third location operable to route compressed AV signals to other locations without said compressed AV signals being decompressed by said third codec [apparent from col.6 and fig.5 - from fig.5, it is clear that the third codec (76) only code/decode signal for the link 75. All other signal would pass through the switch untouched by the codec 76].

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Champa teaching with the EtherPhone system because it would have improved the system by enabling conference over wide area network.

As per claim 19 and 20, the frames rate are inherent characteristic of the system. The particular frame rate would have been a matter of design choice depending upon the quality of video playback required.

As per claim 21, it is rejected under similar rationales as for claim 17 above.

-8-

Serial Number: 08/664,238

Art Unit: 2757

Claims 18, 22-24, 26-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biswas et al. and the Etherphone system and Champa above, and further in view of IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin Vol.34, no.7a, Dec. 1991.

As per claim 18, Champa does not specifically disclose a data conference manager using network protocol to control the video conference. IBM disclosure teaches a data conference manager [Conference server] controlling video conference [tuners, Rfmod, Codec] using data network [LAN]. Hence, It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have data manager using network protocol to control AV conference because it would have enable integration of data and AV conferences.

As per claim 22, it is rejected under similar rationales as for claim 18 above.

As per claims 23-24 they are rejected under similar rationales as for claims 19-20 above.

As per claims 26, it is rejected under similar rationales as for claims 2+16+18 above.

As per claim 27, it is rejected under similar rationale as for claim 4 above.

As per claim 28, it is well known in the art to have WAN switches (gateway) for connecting workstations over geographically

Serial Number: 08/664,238 -9-

Art Unit: 2757

dispersed locations. Champa teaches geographic dispersed switch and AV path over Wide Area Network [fig.5 Tl line 79 and Trans Ocean line 83].

As per claim 29, Rangan teaches Etherphone system having an AV switch [fig.1 Matrix switch] for receiving and routing AV signal;

AV reproduction device with audio/video capabilities. [apparent from fig.1];

a directory of AV reproduction device and its associated capabilities [apparent from p.1397 col.2].

As per claim 30, Vin discloses the Etherphone system having AV conference manager select the AV reproduction device according to capabilities [p.27 col.3].

As per claim 31, Rangan discloses external video producing device [p.1396, fig.1].

As per claim 32, Rangan discloses user interface art to provide user selecting capability of reproduction devices [p.1397 col.1 last paragraph].

As per claim 33, the reference does not specifically disclose supporting different signal format standard. It is well known in the art to have converter for different AV signal encoding. It would have been well within the level of one of ordinary skill in

Serial Number: 08/664,238 -10-

Art Unit: 2757

the art to have converter for converting the AV format and support plural signal format standard.

As per claim 34, the Etherphone system has digital data path [Ethernet];

As per claim 35, Rangan discloses managing the video conference by communication over the data path [apparent from p.1397-1398]. The IBM TDB v.34 teaches controlling the video conference by communication transmitted over the data path [p.337 lines 10-17].

As per claim 36, it is rejected under similar rationale for claim 17 above.

As per claims 37-38, the frames rates are inherent characteristic of the system. The particular frame rate would have been a matter of design choice depending upon the quality of video playback required.

As per claims 39, it is rejected under similar rationales as for claims 2+16+18 above.

As per claim 40, Vin discloses the Etherphone system having AV conference manager select the AV reproduction device according to capabilities [p.27 col.3].

Serial Number: 08/664,238

Art Unit: 2757

As per claim 41, Rangan discloses the Etherphone system having AV reproduction device [fig.1 Optical disk] and selecting reproduction service to the workstation.

As per claim 42, it is apparent that there is an interface between the AV conference manager and the reproduction device.

As per claim 43, the reference does not specifically disclose supporting different signal format standard. It is well known in the art to have converter for different AV signal encoding. It would have been well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art to have converter for converting the AV format and support plural signal format standard.

As per claim 44, Champa teaches compressing AV signal, receiving AV signal at a third location [switch in fig.5] and routing to the second location without decompressing at the third location.

As per claim 45, Rangan disclose managing the video conference by communication over the data path [apparent from p.1397-1398]. The IBM TDB v.34 teaches controlling the video conference by communication transmitted over the data path [p.337 lines 10-17].

As per claims 46-47, the frames rates are inherent characteristic of the system. The particular frame rate would

Serial Number: 08/664,238 -12-

Art Unit: 2757

have been a matter of design choice depending upon the quality of video playback required.

This is a continue prosecution Application with no formal response to the rejection (office paper #24). All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached at (703) 305-4792.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

### Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

## Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

### or faxed to:

Serial Number: 08/664,238

Art Unit: 2757

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

(703) 305-9731 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Dung Dinh

Primary Examiner February 9, 2000